## INITIATIVE 322

I, Sam Reed, Secretary of State of the State of Washington and custodian of its seal, hereby certify that, according to the records on file in my office, the attached copy of Initiative Measure No. 322 to the Legislature is a true and correct copy as it was received by this office.

- AN ACT Relating to regulatory reform of state agencies; amending RCW 34.05.360, 34.05.534, 34.12.020, and 43.06.092; adding new sections to chapter 34.05 RCW; creating new sections; and providing an effective date.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. We the people find that many state agencies have for practical purposes become independent, unaccountable arms of state government beyond the effective control of the people and the legislature and continue to assume powers reserved for the legislative and judicial branches by the Washington state Constitution. The regulatory reform act of 1995 and subsequent legislation were well-meaning attempts at correcting the problem, but have been largely ineffective in deterring ongoing abuse of power by agencies. The unacceptable result is that citizens have become encumbered with far too many overly complicated, burdensome, and restrictive rules. Many of these rules provide little real benefit to society, at worst amount to draconian infringements for no valid reason on citizen's rights and freedoms, are very expensive to comply with, are not uniformly enforced, and unnecessarily put businesses in this state at a major

- 1 competitive disadvantage. This loss of agency accountability and
- 2 control has reached a point where enactment of comprehensive follow-on
- 3 regulatory reform of Title 34 RCW is urgently needed.
- 4 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** This act may be known and cited as the
- 5 follow-on regulatory reform act of 2005.
- 6 **Sec. 3.** RCW 34.05.360 and 1988 c 288 s 311 are each amended to 7 read as follows:
- 8 The order of adoption by which each rule is adopted by an agency 9 shall contain all of the following:
- 10 (1) The date the agency adopted the rule;

11

2526

27

28

2930

31

- (2) A concise statement of the purpose of the rule;
- 12 (3) A reference to all rules repealed, amended, or suspended by the rule;
- 14 (4) A reference to the specific statutory or other authority 15 authorizing adoption of the rule;
- 16 (5) Any findings required by any provision of law as a precondition 17 to adoption or effectiveness of the rule; ((and))
- 18 (6) The effective date of the rule if other than that specified in 19 RCW 34.05.380(2); and
- 20 (7) The signature of the governor, if the agency head is appointed 21 by the governor and a rule is a significant legislative rule under RCW 22 34.05.328.
- 23 **Sec. 4.** RCW 34.05.534 and 1997 c 409 s 302 are each amended to 24 read as follows:
  - A person may file a petition for judicial review under this chapter only after exhausting ((all)) one administrative ((remedies)) remedy available within the agency whose action is being challenged, or available within the office of administrative hearings or any other agency authorized to exercise administrative review, including informal settlements under RCW 34.05.060 and other informal appeals or dispute resolutions, except:
- 32 (1) A petitioner for judicial review of a rule need not have 33 participated in the rule-making proceeding upon which that rule is 34 based, have petitioned for its amendment or repeal, have petitioned the 35 joint administrative rules review committee for its review, or have 36 appealed a petition for amendment or repeal to the governor;

- (2) A petitioner for judicial review need not exhaust administrative remedies to the extent that this chapter or any other statute states that exhaustion is not required; or
  - (3) The court may relieve a petitioner of the requirement to exhaust any or all administrative remedies upon a showing that:
    - (a) The remedies would be patently inadequate;

- (b) The exhaustion of remedies would be futile; or
- (c) The grave irreparable harm that would result from having to exhaust administrative remedies would clearly outweigh the public policy requiring exhaustion of administrative remedies.
- Sec. 5. RCW 34.12.020 and 2002 c 354 s 226 are each amended to read as follows:

Unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter.

- (1) "Office" means the office of administrative hearings.
- (2) "Administrative law judge" means any person appointed by the chief administrative law judge to conduct or preside over hearings as provided in this chapter.
- (3) "Hearing" means an adjudicative proceeding within the meaning of RCW 34.05.010(1) conducted by a state agency under RCW 34.05.413 through 34.05.476.
- (4) "State agency" means any state board, commission, department, or officer authorized by law to make rules or to conduct adjudicative proceedings, except those in the legislative or judicial branches, ((the growth management hearings boards,)) the utilities and transportation commission, ((the pollution control hearings board, the shorelines hearings board, the forest practices appeals board, the environmental hearings office, the board of industrial insurance appeals,)) the Washington personnel resources board, the public employment relations commission, and the board of tax appeals.
- Sec. 6. RCW 43.06.092 and 1981 c 338 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:
  - (1) Any gubernatorial appointee subject to senate confirmation shall continue to serve unless rejected by a vote of the senate, except that all agency heads as defined by RCW 34.05.010 and appointed by the governor must be confirmed by the senate within one year of appointment in order to continue to serve. An appointee who is rejected by a vote

- of the senate shall not be reappointed to the same position for a period of one year from termination of service.
- 3 (2) Any person appointed by the governor to fill the unexpired term 4 of an appointment subject to senate confirmation must also be confirmed 5 by the senate.
- 6 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 7.** A new section is added to chapter 34.05 RCW 7 to read as follows:

- (1) Agency actions must be derived from explicit grants of legislative authority and are constrained to the minimum necessary to administer clear and unambiguous directives in the law and to circumstances and behaviors foreseeable at the time of enactment. Delegation of legislative authority to agencies shall be narrowly construed in light of both the letter and intent of applicable laws. Rules must include the specific statutory section or sections from which a grant of authority is derived, and may not rely solely on sections stating a statute's intent or purpose or general enabling provisions.
- (2) Agencies bear the burden of demonstrating that agency actions are authorized by law. Agencies are admonished that citizens and businesses are presumed innocent until proven guilty and are not required to prove their innocence.
- (3) Agency actions that impose environmental regulations must be based on peer-reviewed best available science and shall be uniformly applied in all areas of the state.
- (4) All agencies are prohibited from engaging in any of the following actions without explicit legislative direction for each activity:
  - (a) Extending rules applicable to public lands to private property;
- (b) Applying rules to private employers but not to public employers;
- (c) Adopting rules that exceed standards required by federal guidelines, regulations, or law applicable to the same activity or subject matter; or
  - (d) Adopting rules that restrict the normal and customary legal rights of owners to full use of their private property without paying them full value compensation for that lost use within three months of rule implementation.

(5) All new and existing rules that are significant legislative rules under RCW 34.05.328 shall be subject to sunset review and formal reissue by the adopting agency at least once every ten years. Review of all significant rules already in effect for over ten years shall be completed within seven years of the effective date of this section, absent an extension of time by the legislature.

- (6) New or amended rules adopted by agencies through a formal hearing process or that are significant legislative rules under RCW 34.05.328:
- (a) Must be preceded by adoption of a finding of necessity that clearly demonstrates how each rule is required to achieve the intent of enabling legislation or federal law, and that shows how the proposed rule is the least burdensome and most cost-effective alternative. Proposed findings of necessity shall be based on best available science or information and filed with the office of the code reviser by June 1st of each year. After a thirty to sixty-day public comment period and at least four public hearings around the state that allow opportunities for oral and written comments, final findings of necessity must be filed by September 15th. Final findings of necessity must be filed prior to filing notice of intent to adopt or amend a rule under RCW 34.05.320, and may be appealed to superior court under RCW 34.05.514;
- (b) Must be adopted before November 15th of any year, but shall not take effect before the end of the regular legislative session in the next year; and
- (c) Are not covered by this subsection if they meet exclusionary criteria specified in RCW 34.05.328(5)(b), are adopted under RCW 77.12.047 or 77.12.150, or are published in the state register prior to the effective date of this section.
- (7) When agencies adopt emergency rules under RCW 34.05.350 they bear the burden of substantiating that the action is a valid emergency.
- 32 (8) Fines and penalties levied by agencies shall be paid only into 33 the general fund, and never to any account of a particular agency or 34 program.
- 35 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 8.** A new section is added to chapter 34.05 RCW 36 to read as follows:
- 37 If parties prevail in superior court under this chapter and agency 38 actions at issue involve significant legislative rules under RCW

- 1 34.05.328, then the qualified party net worth limitations in RCW
- 2 4.84.340(5) and the amount awarded limitations in RCW 4.84.350(2) do
- 3 not apply.
- 4 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 9.** (1) If any provision of this act is found to
- 5 be in conflict with any other provision of law, then the provisions of
- 6 this act shall prevail.
- 7 (2) The provisions of this act are to be liberally construed to
- 8 effectuate the intent, policies, and purposes of this act.
- 9 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 10.** If any provision of this act or its
- 10 application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the
- 11 remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other
- 12 persons or circumstances is not affected.
- 13 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 11.** Section 5 of this act takes effect July 1,
- 14 2006.

--- END ---